Case 1:04-cv-11412-NG Document 11-2 Filed 09/15/2005 Page 1 of 27

# EXHIBIT A

Volume I Pages 1 to 92 Exhibits 1 to 9

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

HOWARD RICHARDSON, : Plaintiff, :

vs. : Civil Action : No. 04-11412-NG

SUSA PARTNERSHIP, LP,
Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF HOWARD RICHARDSON, a witness called on behalf of the Defendant, taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Ken A. DiFraia, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Offices of Jackson Lewis LLP, 75 Park Plaza, Boston, Massachusetts, on Monday, June 13, 2005, commencing at 10:35 a.m.

### PRESENT:

Gleason Law Offices
(by William P. Boland, Esq.)
163 Merrimack Street, Haverhill, MA 01830,
for the Plaintiff.

Jackson Lewis LLP
(by Thomas Royall Smith, Esq.,
and Amanda S. Rosenfeld, Esq.)
75 Park Plaza, Boston, MA 02116,
for the Defendant.

\* \* \* \* \*

A. There was no gate to the facility. It was a drive in.

- Q. Was there a lock system that allowed somebody to enter the facility?
  - A. Yes, to the office.
- Q. Did you ever have access to the keys to the office?
  - A. No.

- Q. You never ever had the keys in your possession at any time?
- A. Well, only the time that the company had asked me to bring the keys to the managers that were filling in at the facility on the weekend, Saturday and Sunday, because the manager was away. They asked me to bring the keys to the office over to the individuals that were there. That was the only time.
  - Q. Where did you get the keys from?
- A. I got the keys from Joseph Cammarata before he left for the weekend with his daughter for a competition.
- Q. He asked you to bring the keys to the facility; is that right?
  - A. Yes, he did.

give it to your counsel -- just so we can refresh our recollections.

The weekend when you indicated that you brought the keys to the facility, was that the weekend of the 20th and 21st of April?

- A. Yes, it was.
- O. Of 2002?
- A. Right.
- Q. The 20th was a Saturday?
- 10 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

- 11 Q. The 21st was a Sunday; is that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- Q. On Saturday, April 20, were you scheduled at all to work at the facility?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Did you at any time on Saturday, April 20, 17 go to the facility?
- A. Just to bring the keys over to the managers
  that were there to run the facility for that
  Saturday.
  - Q. How long did it take you to bring the keys to the managers that were running the facility?
- A. The time it took to drive from my house to the facility, five minutes. I don't know.

- Q. Were the individuals who were there Byron Fiske and John Gorin?
  - A. I have no idea what the names were.
- Q. Were they from the Waltham Storage U.S.A. facility?
  - A. Yes.
  - O. Both of them?
  - A. Yes, both.
  - Q. Had you seen them before?
- 10 A. Never.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Prior to April 20, 2002, had you ever had a conversation with Marie Fournier about working the weekend of the 20th and 21st of April?
- A. No.
  - Q. When you went to the facility on the 20th in the morning, did you have occasion to go back there later that day?
  - A. Yes. At the end of the day where they would be closing, I returned to the facility to pick up the keys from the individuals from Waltham.
    - Q. Why did you do that?
  - A. So that I would have the keys to give to the manager or assistant manager, whoever was covering the facility on Sunday.

Did you give the keys to anyone covering 1 2 the facility on Sunday, April 21st of 2002? 3 Yes, I did. Α. You went there on Sunday and did that? 4 Q. 5 Α. Yes, I did. Who did you give them to on Sunday? 6 0. 7 Α. I gave them to Marie. Fournier? 8 Q. 9 Α. Yes. On Sunday, April 21st? 10 0. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. What time of the day was that that you gave 13 them to her? That was around 10 o'clock. 14 Α. On Sunday? 15 Q. On Sunday, yes. 16 Α. Did she meet you there on Sunday? 17 Q. No. She was there working. 18 Α. She worked on Sunday, the 21st? 19 Q. Yes. She worked at both facilities. 20 Α. Meaning both --21 Q. 22 Meaning she would cover the Bradford Α.

facility, and where she was a manager of, the

Salisbury Storage U.S.A.

23

you had a buzz on when you came to work at about five o'clock on Saturday, April 20th?

- A. I didn't go to work on Saturday, April 20th.
- Q. When you went to the facility on April 20th, did you tell anybody that you had a buzz on?
  - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

- Q. Did you after the 20th tell anybody that you had a buzz on?
- A. On the 21st when I was talking to Marie, I told her I had been drinking Saturday.
  - Q. So when you came back to the facility at around five, you had been drinking prior to that; is that correct?
- A. What do you mean "prior"?
- 17 | Q. Before five.
- 18 A. Oh, yes.
- Q. Had you been drinking that afternoon,
  April 20th?
- 21 A. Yes.
  - Q. When you said to Marie on Sunday, the 21st,

    "I had a buzz on," you were referring to the fact

    that you had been drinking on the afternoon of

29 Saturday, April 20th? 1 That's correct. 2 Α. 3 What did you mean when you said you had a 0. 4 buzz on? 5 You know, just a buzz. Α. 6 Q. You were feeling the alcohol? 7 Α. Yes, I was feeling the alcohol. Would it be fair to say that you were 8 Q. intoxicated? 9 10 No, I wouldn't say I was intoxicated to the Α. 11 point of falling down, no. 12 But you were feeling high? Q. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. That's fair to say? 15 Α. Yes. How much did you have to drink on 16 Q. 17 April 20th? I don't recall. 18 Α. Where were you drinking? 19 Q. Up in Lincoln, New Hampshire. 20 Α. How many drinks do you think you had, five, 21 0. 22 or six? Around that maybe. 23 Α. What kinds of drinks? 24 Q.

- A. Mixed cocktails.
- Q. Gin, vodka?

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

22

23

- A. Rum, actually.
- O. Rum and Coke?
- A. Actually rum and Seven Up.
- Q. You had about five or six rum and Seven Ups up in Lincoln, New Hampshire?
  - A. Yes, approximately.
  - Q. Is it fair to say that when you came back to the facility at around five o'clock on the 20th, the individuals who were there from the Waltham facility could see that you had had something to drink?
    - A. I don't know.
- Q. You had had at that point five or six drinks, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. When you came back, if they concluded that
  you had alcohol on your breath, you could understand
  why they concluded that, right?
  - A. Absolutely.
  - Q. If they concluded that you were high on alcohol, you could understand that, couldn't you?
  - A. Well, as an assumption I would say.

42 under the influence of alcohol? 1 Yes. 2 Α. Were you convicted? 3 Ο. Α. Yes. 4 Did you serve any time in jail either 5 before or after your conviction? 6 What, for driving under the influence? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Α. No. 9 How many times have you been arrested for 10 0. 11 driving under the influence? Three times. Α. 12 How many times have you been convicted? 13 0. Α. All three times. 14 Do you have your driver's license today? 15 0. It's been revoked. Α. 1.6 Do you know if Mr. Cammarata was ever 17 Q. arrested for driving under the influence? 18 I have no idea. Α. 19 The third time you were convicted for 20 0. driving under the influence, did you go anywhere for 21 22 rehabilitation? The third time? 23 Α. 24 0. Yes.

- A. Yes, that's my belief.
- Q. Your position is that you were somewhat intoxicated on April 20th, but you were not working on April 20th?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you have any idea why anyone at the company would say that you were working on April 20th?
  - A. No idea at all.
- Q. You were also told you were terminated because you didn't show up on the 21st of April; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, as part of it.
- Q. Your contention is that that was also not true; is that correct?
- 16 A. That's correct. I was not aware of it.
  - Q. Do you have any idea why anyone at the company would say that you were scheduled for work on April 21st?
  - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

- 21 | Q. Do you have any idea why anyone would?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. I'm going to show you some documents, if I may. These documents were premarked. I will

you said, "Made a mistake doing a so-called friend a favor, and it got me arrested five years ago. Did two years. Have been clean and productive since."

A. Right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

14

15

16

19

MR. BOLAND: Objection. For clarification, you asked if that was the only arrest. Did you mean felony?

MR. SMITH: I said conviction, for a felony.

MR. BOLAND: I didn't hear the word

"felony," but go head.

- Q. Is that the only one?
- 13 A. Yes.
  - Q. What did you get convicted of a felony for in that case?
  - A. For sale and possession of cocaine.
- Q. Was that your first offense for sale and possession?
  - A. Conviction.
- 20 Q. First conviction?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You got two years in prison?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Where did you serve that two years?

- A. Seven months at Middleton. The rest of it was at The Farm in Lawrence, prerelease.
  - Q. Was your sentence originally two years or longer?
    - A. It was two years and 30 days.
    - Q. Did you serve that full time?
  - A. Absolutely, every day.
- Q. I would like you to look at Exhibit 3 for identification, Richardson Exhibit 3. Do you recognize this form?
- 11 A. (Examines document) Yes.
- Q. Did you complete this form yourself when you applied for employment at SUSA?
- 14 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Is this your handwriting on the form?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 \ Q. It's not your handwriting?
- 18 A. It doesn't look like my handwriting.
- Q. Is that your printing up at the top where it says, "Howard Richardson"?
- 21 A. No. I think Marie filled this out.
- 22 \ Q. While she was talking to you?
- A. Yes, yes. She did the questionnaire. I answered the questions, and she filled it out.

- A. Well, I don't know what it refers to.
- Q. The reference in the beginning of Paragraph 5 to your race, is there anything else that you can testify about as you sit here today that would form the basis of your complaint, other than what you have already testified about?
- A. Not offhand. I can't think of anything else offhand.
- Q. I would like you to take a look at Exhibit 5 for identification, please. If you would turn to Page 7 of that document, please. Could you tell us whether or not that's your signature.
  - A. Yes, it is my signature.
- Q. Did you read the answers to the interrogatories contained in Exhibit 5 for identification before you signed them?
  - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. To the best of your knowledge, were your answers accurate?
  - A. To the best of my knowledge.
- Q. Would you turn to Page 5 of that document, please. On Page 5, there's a question about efforts you made to seek employment since April of 2002.
  - In Answer No. 12 you say, "No efforts to

A. No, I have not. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. It's a fair statement to say that since
  April 24th, when you were terminated, you have not
  applied anywhere else for employment?
  - A. No. I have not been able to.
- Q. What medical problems prevented you from applying elsewhere for employment?
  - A. My physical condition.
- Q. Just for the record, what is the physical condition?
- 11 A. I have a septic arthritis infection in my 12 body that has left me with internal problems.
  - Q. Does that prevent you from working?
- 14 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Has it prevented you from working since
  April of 2002?
- 17 A. Actually, from June.
- 18 | O. June of 2002?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. To the present time?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. I would like you to take a look at

  Exhibit 6 for identification, please. Take a look
- 24 | in the lower right-hand corner. Is that your

1 | signature there?

2

5

6

7

8

- A. (Examines document) Yes.
- Q. Is that your Social Security number to the left of your signature?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Is this the date that you signed it on the right, April 21st?
    - A. Yes, I believe it was. I don't know.
    - Q. Well, you dated this, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Referring to the middle of the page where there's a schedule, did you complete that schedule or did somebody else?
- A. That was someone else. That's not my writing.
- Q. Do you see on Saturday, April 20 where it says, "nine to ten"?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And 5:30 to 6:00?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Then at the bottom where the totals are, it says, "1.5"?
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Did you get paid for 1.5 hours on April 20?

- A. Not to my knowledge. I didn't work.
- Q. If the company were to produce a record showing that, in fact, you were paid on April 20 for 1.5 hours, would that change your opinion?
  - A. Well, it would be without my knowledge.

MR. BOLAND: Just to clarify, would it change his opinion that he worked or that he was paid?

MR. SMITH: That he was paid.

MR. BOLAND: That's better.

- A. If they paid me, I was not aware they were paying me, because I did not work that day at all.

  I was not there nine to ten. I was not there five to whatever, six.
  - 0. 5:30 to six.
- A. 5:30 to six.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. It says in the right-hand column, "came back for keys." Then there are initials. Do you know whose initials those are?
  - A. Where?
  - MR. BOLAND: Right here (indicating).
  - A. No. I can't make it out.
    - Q. Would that be perhaps --
- 24 A. I have no idea.

A. This isn't my writing.

- Q. Please let me finish. The time for the 20th is for the 20th, and you signed this on Sunday, the 21st, correct?
- A. Yes, I believe so. How could I sign that Sunday?
- Q. You said you were there on Sunday. Why wouldn't you sign it when you were there on Sunday?
- A. Well, unless I was called back there to sign this for the work for Monday through Friday.

  But the supervisor didn't even sign it. That would be signed, also.
- Q. Normally the supervisor signs after you sign?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. Do you have any idea why somebody would have written in that you worked or -- it shows that you worked nine to ten on the 20th and 5:30 to six on the 20th. Do you have any idea why somebody would have written that in?
- A. No idea, but somebody clearly wrote it in, because that's not my handwriting.
- Q. I understand that. It's not your
  handwriting on the Monday entries either, on the

filled out your hours and sometimes your supervisor
filled out your hours?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

- Q. This particular week, your testimony is that your supervisor filled out your hours or somebody else filled it out?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. The hours for Monday through Friday, your testimony is that those are accurate, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. It's just Saturday that you are contending is not accurate, right?
  - A. Absolutely it is not accurate.
  - Q. It's your testimony that, in fact, that was completed, that Saturday entry was completed after you signed the form?
- A. I would have to say so.
- Q. Are you sure about that?
  - A. To the best of my knowledge.
  - Q. Is it possible you signed the form without reading it carefully?
- A. I assume so, reading it. Yes, it's
  possible. I could have signed it without going over
  it, just taken it as a regular time schedule.

1 No, she did not. She called me on the telephone. The conversation was on the phone. 2 3 MR. BOLAND: Can I take a break so I can talk to my client. 4 5 MR. SMITH: Sure. (Recess). 6 7 \*(Ouestion and answer read) BY MR. SMITH: 8 9 Your answer is that there was no meeting? 0. No. There was never a meeting. 10 Α. There was never one scheduled? 11 0. 12 No. The conversation was on the phone. I Α. 13 was terminated on the phone. But there never was a meeting scheduled 14 Q. 15 that you were aware of, correct? 16 Α. No. 17 Q. When you say "no," you mean no, there was no meeting? 18 19 Α. Absolutely. There was no meeting. Thank you. Have you been treated for 20 Q. medical conditions in the years 2003 and 2004? 21 22 Α. To current. 23 0. Sorry?

To current. I still am.

24

Α.

72 1 Q. So the answer to the question is yes? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. You have medical records from treatment in 2003 and 2004? 4 Yes, as well as 2005. 5 Α. 6 0. Do you have any idea why your attorney made a request to Patriot Ambulance for copies of your 7 8 medical records in April of 2003? Α. 9 Yes. 10 Why was that? Because of an incident that transpired with 11 Α. 12 Patriot Ambulance's services. 13 Q. What was that incident? There was an accident involving the 14 Α. 15 transporting of me. In other words, while you were in the 16 0. 17 ambulance, an accident occurred? Well, it was before I got in the ambulance, 18 Α. actually. 19 20 Q. What happened? 21 I was mistreated. Α. 22 Q. By? 23 Α. By the attendant. 24 Q. How?

I still can't believe it. He rammed me 1 Α. 2 into the back of the ambulance. 3 Q. When you were in a wheelchair? 4 Α. While I was in a gurney. Have you sued Patriot Ambulance? 5 Q. 6 Α. Not as of yet. I have not heard anything. 7 I made a complaint against them. You made a demand for damages? 8 0. Absolutely. He jammed me in the ambulance 9 Α. 10 in the gurney. I was being transported to New 11 England Medical Center. 12 Did you review any documents prior to 13 coming in here today to testify? 14 Α. No, other than this here (indicating). 15 The complaint? Ο. 16 Α. Which one is this now? 17 Q. Or the interrogatory answers? 18 Α. This here (indicating). The interrogatory answers? 19 Q. 20 Α. Yes. 21 You reviewed those? Q. 22 Α. Yes. MR. SMITH: Let the record reflect the 23

witness was referring to Exhibit 5 or

1 | that your signature?

- A. Yes.
- Q. As a matter of fact, I'm not sure who the notary is, but somebody notarized your signature?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

- Q. You appeared before somebody?
- 7 A. Yes.
  - Q. You showed them some kind of identification?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You were asked by counsel about Exhibit 6, 12 this document. Do you recall this document?
- 13 A. Yes. It's a time sheet.
- Q. You were asked under direct examination if that was your signature.
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall your answer to that question?
- A. I believe I said it looks like my
- 19 | signature.
- Q. Is that, in fact, your signature?
- 21 A. No, it's not.
- 22 | 0. It is not?
- 23 A. No, it is not.
- Q. Why would you say it looks like your

signature?

2.3

A. It's close, but it's not my handwriting. It's definitely not my handwriting.

MR. BOLAND: Nothing further.

### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. SMITH:

- Q. Would you compare the signature on Exhibit No. 5 for identification, Page 7, and that's the interrogatories, and if you could compare your signature on Page 7 of Exhibit 5 and your signature on the bottom of Exhibit 6.
  - A. (Examines documents) Right.
- Q. Those signatures appear to be, at least to a laymen, virtually identical. Why is it your testimony now that that's not your signature on Exhibit 6?
- A. Because I don't make my letters as they are on -- what the heck is this document here -- on No. 6. Exhibit 6 to -- what is this document, 8?

  MR. BOLAND: That's 5.
- A. In comparison to Exhibit 5, they are not the same.
- Q. Mr. Richardson, why did you say initially that was your signature? Then you left the room

with counsel and came back and said that was not your signature. Why did you change your mind?

- A. Well, because I had some time to think about it, and it clearly appears not to be my handwriting. I mean, at quick glance, it looks close enough.
- Q. It looks awfully a lot like the signature on Page 7, doesn't it?
  - A. No, it does not, not even in the ballpark.
  - Q. Really?

- A. Really.
- Q. You thought about that since you testified that it was your signature, and you thought about it and came to the conclusion that it was not your signature without ever even looking at it again; is that right?
- A. Actually, I had it right in front of me.

  Yes, it's not my signature. I clearly can see it is
  not.
- Q. Your position is that somebody wrote your signature and basically forged your signature; is that your testimony?
- A. Appears to be so.
  - Q. Do you have any idea why somebody would do

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS)
SUFFOLK, SS.

1.3

I, Ken A. DiFraia, Registered Professional
Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify
that there came before me on the 13th day of June,
2005, at 10:35 a.m., the person hereinbefore named,
who was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth and
nothing but the truth of his knowledge touching and
concerning the matters in controversy in this cause;
that he was thereupon examined upon his oath, and
his examination reduced to typewriting under my
direction; and that the deposition is a true record
of the testimony given by the witness.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 28% day of June, 2005.

Ken A. Di Frais

Notary Public

My commission expires 4/3/09

